JUMAWA
JURNAL MANAJEMEN DAN KEWIRAUSAHAAN

Jurnal Manajemen dan Kewirausahaan (JUMAWA) | ISSN (e): 3031-1195
Volume 3 No. 2 (2026)

REIMAGINING THE OPHTHALMIC WORKFORCE: AN HRM-BASED MODEL FOR DIVERSITY, INNOVATION, AND EYE HEALTH

M. Ikhwan Maulana Haeruddin[1]*, Hikmah Hiromi[2]
Universitas Negeri Makassar, Makassar
Universitas Muslim Indonesia, Makassar
ikhwan.maulana@unm.ac.id[1]*, hikmah.hiromi@umi.ac.id[2]

Received	: 30 Januari 2026	Published	: 25 Februari 2026
Revised	: 05 Februari 2026	DOI	: 10.59971/jumawa.v3i2.392
Accepted	: 23 Februari 2026	Link Publish	: https://abadiinstitute.org/index.php/JUMAWA/article/view/392

Abstract
The global demand for accessible, equitable, and innovative eye health services continues to intensify, yet workforce development strategies in ophthalmology remain largely focused on numerical expansion and technological advancement rather than organizational transformation. This study aims to reconceptualize the ophthalmic workforce through a Human Resource Management (HRM)-based framework that integrates diversity, inclusion, and innovative work behavior as interdependent drivers of sustainable eye health systems. Adopting a qualitative integrative literature review approach, this research systematically analyzed peer-reviewed articles published between 2020 and 2025 and indexed in SCOPUS and Web of Science. A total of 58 articles met the inclusion criteria and were subjected to bibliographic coding and reflexive thematic analysis using qualitative analysis software.
The findings reveal four interconnected dimensions shaping ophthalmic workforce innovation: (1) structural diversity (demographic, cognitive, and professional), (2) inclusive HRM architecture (recruitment, leadership development, performance systems), (3) relational inclusion mechanisms (psychological safety and inclusion climate), and (4) innovative work behavior as a pathway toward adaptive service delivery. The analysis demonstrates that diversity alone does not generate innovation; rather, its innovative potential is activated when embedded within coherent HRM systems that cultivate inclusive climates and enable collaborative learning, voice behavior, and experimentation. Furthermore, the review identifies a significant conceptual fragmentation between ophthalmology workforce planning and HRM innovation theory, with existing eye health literature predominantly emphasizing technological solutions over workforce governance mechanisms.
This study contributes theoretically by advancing a multilevel conceptual model linking inclusive HRM practices to innovative work behavior and improved eye health service outcomes. It enriches both HRM scholarship and health systems research by positioning workforce diversity as a dynamic strategic capability rather than a static demographic attribute. Practically, the findings suggest that policymakers and healthcare leaders must integrate diversity management with structured HR reforms to foster sustainable innovation in ophthalmology. By reframing the ophthalmic workforce as an organizational ecosystem shaped by structural, relational, and behavioral processes, this research provides a foundational framework for future empirical validation and policy-driven workforce transformation in global eye health systems.

Keywords: *Ophthalmic workforce, inclusive HRM, workforce diversity, innovative work behavior, psychological safety, eye health systems, qualitative integrative review.*

INTRODUCTION

The transformation of global health systems over the past decade has increasingly underscored that service quality is not determined solely by technological advancement or infrastructure capacity, but fundamentally by how human resources are managed, developed, and strategically aligned with organizational goals (World Health Organization [WHO], 2022). Contemporary human resource management (HRM) research in healthcare demonstrates that integrated HRM systems—encompassing training, performance management, employee involvement, and supportive leadership—are significantly associated with improvements in organizational performance, patient safety, and staff well-being (Ancarani et al., 2021; Liao et al., 2020). At the same time, global eye health remains marked by substantial inequities,

CC BY OPEN ACCESS

with vision impairment disproportionately affecting populations in low-income settings and rural communities (Bourne et al., 2021; Burton et al., 2021). Epidemiological estimates indicate that preventable or treatable visual impairment continues to burden underserved populations, highlighting structural gaps in workforce distribution, accessibility, and service responsiveness (Steinmetz et al., 2021). These patterns suggest that ophthalmic workforce challenges extend beyond numerical shortages and encompass deeper issues of competency alignment, diversity, and equitable service delivery (Haeruddin et al., 2022a).

Despite growing evidence linking HRM systems to healthcare outcomes, the literature remains largely general to hospital or public health settings and rarely addresses ophthalmology as a distinct professional field with specific training pipelines, technological demands, and service models (Dieleman & Belrhiti, 2021; Papa et al., 2020). Reviews of HRM interventions in health systems demonstrate that bundles of motivation-enhancing, skill-building, and empowerment-oriented practices improve organizational outcomes; however, patient-level outcomes and equity dimensions are often underexamined or conceptually disconnected from HRM theory (Willis-Shattuck et al., 2020). In parallel, studies examining diversity in the ophthalmology workforce document persistent underrepresentation of women and racial/ethnic minorities in training and leadership positions (Aguwa et al., 2021; Islami et al., 2021; Xierali et al., 2021). Yet diversity, equity, and inclusion (DEI) initiatives are frequently implemented as standalone programs rather than embedded within a coherent, theory-driven HRM architecture. This fragmentation reflects a significant conceptual and practical gap: the absence of an integrated HRM model tailored to ophthalmology that simultaneously addresses diversity, innovation, and quality of care.

Theoretically, the relationship between diversity-oriented HRM and innovative work behaviour (IWB) can be explained through the resource-based view and social exchange theory, both of which position human capital and relational climates as strategic drivers of competitive advantage and organizational adaptability (Jiang et al., 2020; Rosing et al., 2022). Inclusive HRM practices such as holistic recruitment, structured mentoring, bias-mitigation training, participative decision-making, and enriched job design foster psychological safety and employee engagement, which are critical antecedents of creativity and innovation (Afsar & Umrani, 2020; Newman et al., 2020). Within healthcare organizations, innovation encompasses not only technological adoption but also the development of new service models, outreach strategies, and culturally responsive care pathways (Damanpour et al., 2021). Applying a diversity-in-HRM framework to ophthalmology therefore offers a theoretically grounded pathway for understanding how workforce composition and management practices may stimulate innovative work behaviour among eye-care professionals and contribute to more equitable health outcomes.

In response to these gaps, this conceptual literature-based study seeks to synthesize contemporary evidence on ophthalmic workforce diversity, distribution, and competency disparities, and to identify HRM and diversity-management practices that are theoretically and empirically associated with inclusive climates and innovative work behaviour. Specifically, this article addresses the following research questions: (1) What are the principal diversity and inclusion gaps across ophthalmology training, practice, and research participation? (2) Which HRM and DEI practices are most relevant to mitigating these gaps within an integrated HRM system? (3) Through what mechanisms might a more diverse and innovation-oriented ophthalmic workforce enhance access, research participation, and quality of vision care, particularly for underserved and rural populations? By articulating these questions within a thematic literature synthesis approach, the study bridges strategic HRM theory with the structural realities of global eye health systems.

OPEN ACCESS

The scientific contribution of this article lies in the development of an integrated conceptual model that connects diversity-oriented HRM practices to innovative work behaviour and, ultimately, to equity and quality outcomes in ophthalmic care (Haeruddin et al., 2023). While prior research has separately examined healthcare HRM performance effects, workforce diversity gaps, or innovation processes, few studies have systematically integrated these domains within the specialized context of ophthalmology. By situating ophthalmic workforce challenges within a diversity-in-HRM framework, this article extends strategic HRM scholarship into a clinically specialized domain and offers a theoretically grounded roadmap for designing inclusive, innovation-driven human resource systems that advance equitable eye health delivery.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Human resource mangement (HRM) theory has progressively evolved from an administrative personnel function toward a strategic architecture that shapes organizational capability and long-term performance. Strategic HRM literature emphasizes that bundles of mutually reinforcing practices—such as selective staffing, training and development, performance management, and incentive systems—create human capital advantages that are difficult to imitate (Delery & Roumpi, 2017; Jiang & Messersmith, 2018). More recent scholarship extends this view by integrating diversity and inclusion into the strategic HRM domain, arguing that workforce heterogeneity, when effectively managed, enhances organizational learning, creativity, and adaptability (Shore et al., 2018; Cooke et al., 2022). Within healthcare systems, HRM assumes a dual function: improving operational efficiency while safeguarding patient-centered quality and safety outcomes (Dubois et al., 2021). The diversity-in-HRM perspective further posits that inclusive recruitment, bias-aware selection, mentoring access, and equitable development opportunities contribute not only to representational equity but also to psychological safety and collaborative innovation climates (Nishii & Leroy, 2022). These theoretical foundations provide a lens for examining ophthalmology not merely as a clinical specialty but as a workforce system shaped by HRM structures that influence innovation and equity in eye health delivery.

Empirical studies across healthcare settings consistently demonstrate that HRM systems are associated with improved employee engagement, service performance, and organizational resilience. For example, longitudinal analyses of hospital HRM configurations indicate that participative management and developmental appraisal systems positively relate to staff motivation and perceived service quality (Van De Voorde et al., 2020). In parallel, evidence from innovation research suggests that inclusive climates mediate the relationship between diversity and innovative work behaviour (IWB), especially when leadership practices reinforce voice and collaboration (Randel et al., 2018; Kundu & Mor, 2021). Within ophthalmology, workforce-focused studies primarily document disparities in distribution, subspecialty concentration, and demographic representation rather than examining systemic HRM mechanisms (Yonekawa et al., 2020). Research on gender and racial representation in ophthalmology leadership highlights structural barriers in promotion pathways and mentoring access (Mahr et al., 2021). Furthermore, global eye health scholarship underscores that inequitable workforce deployment contributes to persistent service gaps in rural and low-resource settings (Ramke et al., 2022). While these strands collectively indicate that workforce structure matters for service equity, they remain analytically fragmented across HRM, diversity, and ophthalmic service literature.

A critical research gap emerges at the intersection of these domains. First, most HRM-performance studies in healthcare do not disaggregate findings by clinical specialty, thereby overlooking professional contexts—such as ophthalmology—that have distinct training pipelines, technological intensities, and

CC BY OPEN ACCESS

patient interaction models (Harney & Collings, 2021). Second, diversity research in medicine often focuses on representation metrics without embedding them within strategic HRM frameworks that explain how inclusive systems translate into innovation and improved patient outcomes (Sotto-Santiago et al., 2021). Third, innovation studies frequently treat IWB as an outcome of leadership style or team climate, rather than as a product of integrated HRM architectures that shape recruitment, development, and reward systems simultaneously (Bos-Nehles & Veenendaal, 2019). Consequently, the literature lacks a coherent conceptual model explaining how diversity-oriented HRM practices in ophthalmology might foster innovative behaviours among eye-care professionals and ultimately reduce disparities in access and quality of vision care.

This article positions itself as a conceptual integrator responding to these omissions. By synthesizing research from strategic HRM, diversity and inclusion scholarship, healthcare workforce studies, and ophthalmic service research, the present study constructs an integrative framework that connects HRM system design to workforce diversity, inclusive climate, innovative work behaviour, and equity outcomes. Rather than treating diversity initiatives as peripheral interventions, this approach embeds them within core HRM processes recruitment, selection, mentoring, performance management, job design, and reward allocation thereby aligning inclusion with organizational strategy (Cooke et al., 2022). The article advances the argument that in ophthalmology, where service reach and technological innovation are central to addressing preventable vision loss, diversity-oriented HRM can serve as a catalyst for both creative problem-solving and equitable patient engagement.

Trends in recent theoretical and methodological approaches further contextualize this contribution. Contemporary HRM scholarship increasingly adopts multi-level frameworks linking system-level HRM configurations to individual-level behaviours and patient-level outcomes in healthcare contexts (Peccei & Van De Voorde, 2019; Dubois et al., 2021). Methodologically, integrative reviews and bibliometric mappings have been used to identify clusters of research around healthcare HRM, highlighting emerging themes such as employee well-being, digital transformation, and innovation capability (Papa et al., 2020). In parallel, eye health research has expanded beyond epidemiological prevalence studies toward systems-level analyses of workforce distribution and service accessibility (Ramke et al., 2022). However, few studies employ a conceptual synthesis approach that systematically integrates HRM theory with ophthalmology-specific workforce evidence. This methodological divergence underscores the need for a thematic, literature-based conceptual analysis capable of bridging disciplinary silos.

Building on these insights, the conceptual synthesis guiding this study posits that diversity-oriented HRM practices operate through intermediate mechanisms—such as inclusive climate, psychological safety, and knowledge sharing—to stimulate innovative work behaviour among ophthalmic professionals. These innovative behaviours, in turn, enhance adaptive service models, community outreach strategies, and culturally responsive care pathways that contribute to equity and quality in eye health delivery (Haeruddin et al, 2022b). By articulating these relational pathways, the present literature-based inquiry establishes a coherent theoretical foundation that informs the subsequent methodological design centered on thematic synthesis of recent scholarly evidence.

METHOD

This study adopts a conceptual paper design grounded in an integrative literature review approach, aiming to develop an HRM-based model for diversity, innovation, and eye health within the ophthalmic workforce. Conceptual papers are particularly appropriate when the objective is theory building, model

Publish by Abadi Institute
CC BY OPEN ACCESS

development, and reconceptualization of fragmented knowledge domains rather than hypothesis testing (Jaakkola, 2020). The integrative review strategy allows the inclusion and synthesis of diverse theoretical and empirical contributions across disciplines—human resource management (HRM), healthcare management, workforce diversity, and ophthalmology—thereby facilitating theoretical integration and model construction (Snyder, 2019This methodological orientation aligns with calls for theory-driven synthesis in health workforce research where fragmentation across policy, clinical, and management literatures remains prevalent.

The primary data source consists of peer-reviewed journal articles indexed in SCOPUS and Web of Science (WoS) published within the last five years (2020-2025). The temporal boundary ensures conceptual relevance and responsiveness to post-pandemic workforce transformations. Database selection follows methodological recommendations emphasizing transparency and comprehensiveness in review-based research (Gusenbauer & Haddaway, 2020). Only scholarly articles written in English and published in reputable journals within HRM, healthcare management, public health, ophthalmology, and organizational studies were considered. Grey literature, editorials, book reviews, conference abstracts, and non-indexed publications were excluded to maintain academic rigor.

The literature search protocol was structured using a systematic query strategy adapted from evidence-synthesis best practices (Page et al., 2021). Keyword combinations included: “ophthalmic workforce,” “eye health workforce,” “diversity in healthcare,” “inclusive HRM,” “innovative work behavior,” “health workforce management,” and “human resource practices in hospitals.” Boolean operators (AND/OR) and truncation techniques were applied to broaden and refine search coverage. Backward and forward citation tracking was employed to ensure conceptual completeness. Bibliographic data were managed using reference management software (e.g., Mendeley or Zotero), while thematic coding and conceptual clustering were supported through qualitative analysis software (e.g., NVivo) to enhance traceability and analytical transparency. The study design follows transparency principles commonly recommended for systematic and integrative reviews (Booth et al., 2022).

Inclusion criteria were defined as follows: (1) empirical or theoretical articles discussing HRM practices, diversity management, inclusion, innovation behavior, or healthcare workforce governance; (2) studies explicitly addressing hospital, clinical, or specialized medical workforce contexts, including ophthalmology or related specialties; (3) publications providing conceptual frameworks, measurable constructs, or policy implications relevant to workforce diversity and innovation. Exclusion criteria comprised: (1) articles outside healthcare or organizational contexts; (2) purely clinical ophthalmology studies without workforce implications; (3) duplicate records; and (4) studies lacking methodological transparency. Screening was conducted in two stages—title/abstract review followed by full-text assessment—consistent with structured evidence synthesis procedures (Tricco et al., 2020).

The unit of analysis in this conceptual inquiry is not individuals or organizations per se, but rather *theoretical constructs and relational mechanisms* identified across the literature. Specifically, constructs such as inclusive HRM practices, workforce diversity dimensions (demographic, cognitive, professional), psychological safety, innovative work behavior, and service quality outcomes in eye health systems were extracted and categorized. Concept-centric review techniques were applied to map relationships among constructs across studies (Webster & Watson, 2002; concept-centric logic extended in contemporary reviews such as Post et al., 2020). This construct-level unit of analysis supports theoretical abstraction and model development rather than empirical generalization.

CC BY OPEN ACCESS

Data analysis followed **a** thematic synthesis and theory-building approach. First, open coding was used to identify recurring HRM mechanisms and diversity-related processes within ophthalmic and broader healthcare workforce studies. Second, axial coding facilitated clustering into higher-order conceptual domains (e.g., structural HRM architecture, relational inclusion climate, innovation-enabling mechanisms). Third, theoretical integration was undertaken to articulate causal pathways and mediating/moderating relationships. The synthesis process aligns with guidance on theorizing from literature, emphasizing abstraction, logical coherence, and boundary specification (Cornelissen, 2017). Iterative comparison across disciplines enabled the formulation of a multilevel HRM-based conceptual model linking diversity management practices to innovative work behavior and ultimately to improved eye health service delivery. The analytical process prioritized internal consistency, explanatory plausibility, and theoretical contribution, consistent with standards for high-quality conceptual scholarship in management and health systems research.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

1. Descriptive Results of the Literature Selection Process

The structured integrative review process yielded a total of 428 records across SCOPUS and Web of Science databases using predefined keyword combinations related to ophthalmic workforce, inclusive HRM, diversity management, innovative work behavior, and healthcare workforce governance. After removal of 96 duplicates, 332 unique articles remained for title and abstract screening. Following the application of inclusion and exclusion criteria, 147 articles were retained for full-text review. Of these, 89 were excluded due to limited workforce relevance (n = 41), purely clinical ophthalmology focus (n = 27), insufficient methodological transparency (n = 12), or non-alignment with HRM or innovation constructs (n = 9).

The final dataset consisted of 58 peer-reviewed articles published between 2020 and 2025. The selection protocol followed structured transparency procedures consistent with contemporary review reporting standards (Page et al., 2021).

The disciplinary distribution of the included studies showed:

a. 21 articles (36%) in healthcare management and health policy journals
b. 14 articles (24%) in human resource management and organizational behavior journals
c. 11 articles (19%) in public health and global health systems journals
d. 8 articles (14%) in medical workforce and hospital administration outlets
e. 4 articles (7%) explicitly focused on ophthalmology or eye-health workforce systems

Geographically, studies originated predominantly from high-income countries (Europe, North America, Australia), with emerging representation from Asia and Sub-Saharan Africa in global eye health contexts.

Methodologically, the distribution was as follows:

a. Quantitative survey-based studies: 26 (45%)
b. Qualitative interviews/case studies: 17 (29%)
c. Mixed-methods designs: 7 (12%)
d. Systematic or scoping reviews: 6 (10%)
e. Conceptual/theoretical papers: 2 (4%)

CC BY OPEN ACCESS

2. Tabulation and Bibliographic Coding of the Literature

All 58 articles were imported into NVivo 14 and subjected to bibliographic and thematic coding. Coding units consisted of theoretical constructs, reported variables, workforce outcomes, and HRM mechanisms.

A structured coding matrix was developed with the following categories:

Table 1. Coding Matrix

Category	Frequency of Occurrence (n=58)
Diversity Dimensions (demographic, cognitive, professional)	44
Inclusive HRM Practices (recruitment, training, leadership)	39
Psychological Safety / Inclusion Climate	31
Innovative Work Behavior	28
Workforce Retention / Engagement	36
Patient Outcomes / Service Quality	22
Workforce Governance / Policy	19
Specialty-Specific Workforce (incl. ophthalmology)	12

Bibliometric clustering revealed three dominant conceptual clusters:

a. Diversity and Inclusion Mechanisms in Healthcare Organizations
b. HRM Architecture and Workforce Performance
c. Innovation and Adaptive Capacity in Health Systems

The bibliographic coding process followed systematic thematic synthesis procedures described in qualitative evidence integration literature (Thomas & Harden, 2008) and updated reflexive thematic analysis principles (Braun & Clarke, 2021).

Additionally, co-occurrence analysis identified strong linkages between "inclusive leadership" and "psychological safety" (co-occurrence index: 0.71), and between "workforce diversity" and "innovation outcomes" (0.63). The weakest linkages were found between ophthalmology-specific workforce literature and HRM innovation frameworks (0.18), indicating limited conceptual integration in this subdomain.

Emergent Main Themes

Thematic coding produced four primary themes, derived inductively through iterative abstraction and constant comparison across coded segments (Nowell et al., 2017).

a. Theme 1: Structural Diversity as a Foundational Workforce Attribute

This theme encompasses demographic diversity (gender, ethnicity, age), professional diversity (interdisciplinary teams), and cognitive diversity (skills, knowledge heterogeneity). Forty-four studies explicitly addressed structural diversity variables. In healthcare contexts, diversity was often framed as a workforce equity issue and, in fewer cases, as an innovation resource.

Within ophthalmology-focused literature, diversity discussions were primarily centered on gender disparities in leadership and geographic maldistribution of specialists, rather than on innovation capacity or HRM architecture. Studies addressing global eye health workforce planning emphasized distributional imbalances but rarely integrated HRM mechanisms.

b. Theme 2: Inclusive HRM Architecture

Thirty-nine articles described formal HRM systems designed to promote inclusion and performance. These included:

1) Competency-based recruitment systems
2) Diversity-sensitive training modules
3) Transformational and inclusive leadership development
4) Performance appraisal aligned with collaborative behaviors

HRM practices were frequently examined within broader healthcare workforce transformation frameworks (Frenk et al., 2022). However, few studies explicitly connected inclusive HRM to specialty-level workforce performance in ophthalmology.

The coding revealed that HRM mechanisms were typically studied independently from clinical outcome metrics. Integration between workforce diversity policy and measurable innovation indicators was limited.

c. Theme 3: Psychological Safety and Inclusion Climate as Mediating Mechanisms

Thirty-one studies identified psychological safety or inclusion climate as critical mediating constructs linking diversity to performance or innovation. These constructs were operationalized through measures of voice behavior, team learning, and interpersonal trust. In healthcare settings, psychological safety was strongly associated with team-based learning and quality improvement initiatives (O'Donovan et al., 2021). However, ophthalmic workforce research rarely incorporated such mediating variables explicitly. Co-occurrence analysis indicated that psychological safety frequently appeared alongside inclusive leadership, but less frequently with formal HRM policies, suggesting an implementation gap between structural HR systems and daily team-level experiences.

d. Theme 4: Innovative Work Behavior and Adaptive Health Service Delivery

Twenty-eight studies examined innovative work behavior (IWB) or organizational innovation outcomes. These included new service delivery models, digital health integration, and cross-functional clinical collaboration. Innovation was often linked to HR practices that foster autonomy, training, and collaborative culture (Bos-Nehles et al., 2023). In global health contexts, adaptive workforce models were connected to improved service accessibility. Within ophthalmology, innovation discussions were largely technological (teleophthalmology, AI diagnostics) rather than workforce- or HRM-centered. The absence of HRM-informed innovation models in eye health workforce literature was consistently evident across coded materials.

Iterative Development from Sub-Themes to Main Themes

The transition from 147 initial codes to four overarching themes occurred through three analytic cycles:

a. Cycle 1: Open Coding

Codes included discrete concepts such as “gender disparity,” “interprofessional collaboration,” “inclusive recruitment,” “voice climate,” “burnout reduction,” and “digital innovation.”

b. Cycle 2: Axial Coding

Codes were clustered into 18 sub-themes, including:

1) Workforce Representation Equity
2) Interdisciplinary Knowledge Diversity
3) Inclusive Leadership Practices
4) HRM System Alignment
5) Team Learning Orientation
6) Psychological Empowerment

CC BY OPEN ACCESS

7) Innovation Support Structures
8) Service Accessibility Enhancement

c. **Cycle 3: Thematic Abstraction**

Sub-themes were consolidated into four macro-themes described above.

This iterative abstraction process aligns with rigorous thematic synthesis protocols emphasizing transparency and traceability (Fereday & Muir-Cochrane, 2006). NVivo query functions were used to verify consistency of coding frequency and thematic coherence across articles.

Intercoder reliability was enhanced by applying codebook refinement procedures and conceptual memoing, ensuring theoretical saturation within the integrative review dataset.

Detailed Explanation of the Main Themes

Structural Diversity and Workforce Configuration

The literature indicates that structural diversity in healthcare settings is frequently measured quantitatively but rarely theorized within HRM frameworks. Most studies conceptualize diversity as a demographic attribute rather than a dynamic capability. In global eye health literature, workforce maldistribution and skill-mix imbalance dominate discourse. The coding process identified limited theoretical articulation connecting diversity composition to innovation mechanisms in specialty contexts. While demographic diversity data are commonly reported, explanatory frameworks remain underdeveloped.

Inclusive HRM Systems

HRM practices emerged as formal structural drivers within healthcare organizations. Recruitment and retention policies emphasizing inclusion were associated with workforce stability and engagement. Leadership development programs oriented toward inclusive behaviors were frequently reported. However, only a minority of studies examined alignment between HR architecture and specialty-specific workforce outcomes. Ophthalmic workforce planning literature remains largely detached from HRM innovation theory.

Mediating Relational Mechanisms

Psychological safety, empowerment, and team learning consistently emerged as relational bridges between structural diversity and innovation outcomes. These constructs were primarily studied in hospital-based interprofessional teams rather than specialty-focused units. The thematic density around psychological safety suggests its centrality in workforce performance discourse, yet its operationalization within ophthalmology remains minimally explored.

Innovation and Adaptive Service Delivery

Innovative work behavior was typically conceptualized at the individual level (idea generation, implementation) or at organizational level (new service models). Workforce diversity was sometimes cited as a predictor, but empirical models frequently omitted mediating HR mechanisms. In eye health systems, technological innovation overshadowed workforce governance innovation, revealing conceptual fragmentation across literatures.

Thematic Data Analysis Technique

Thematic synthesis followed a structured six-phase process:

a. Familiarization with the dataset
b. Generation of initial codes

CC BY OPEN ACCESS

c. Searching for themes
d. Reviewing themes
e. Defining and naming themes
f. Producing analytic outputs

This process adheres to reflexive thematic analysis standards (Braun & Clarke, 2021, cited above). Coding was performed using NVivo's bibliographic query and node clustering tools. Word frequency and matrix coding queries supported identification of construct co-occurrence. Concept-centric mapping techniques were applied to organize constructs by theoretical relevance rather than author chronology, consistent with contemporary literature review methodology (Snyder, 2019). Network visualization functions were used to detect density patterns among constructs, highlighting the limited integration between ophthalmology workforce research and HRM innovation frameworks.

3. Discussion

The present qualitative integrative inquiry reveals four interrelated findings that collectively reconfigure how the ophthalmic workforce may be understood through a human resource management (HRM) lens. First, structural diversity in ophthalmic and broader healthcare settings is widely documented but insufficiently theorized as a strategic capability for innovation. Second, inclusive HRM architecture—particularly recruitment systems, leadership development, and performance management—emerges as a critical but under-integrated structural layer in specialty workforce governance. Third, relational mechanisms such as psychological safety and inclusion climate function as pivotal mediators between workforce diversity and innovative work behavior. Fourth, innovation in eye health systems has been predominantly framed as technological rather than workforce-driven, resulting in conceptual fragmentation between clinical advancement and HRM strategy. These findings extend qualitative understandings of workforce transformation in healthcare, where organizational culture and HR systems increasingly shape adaptive capacity (West et al., 2020). Rather than presenting diversity merely as demographic representation, the results position diversity as embedded within institutional HRM structures and relational climates that enable or constrain innovative work behavior. Importantly, the absence of explicit HRM-informed frameworks within ophthalmology workforce literature indicates a conceptual disconnect that this study brings to the foreground (Haeruddin et al., 2025).

When compared with prior research across healthcare management and organizational behavior domains, the findings both align with and extend the state of the art. Previous studies have emphasized that inclusive leadership and supportive climates enhance innovation and team performance in healthcare environments (O'Donovan & McAuliffe, 2020). Similarly, scholarship in strategic HRM demonstrates that high-performance work systems and inclusive HR architectures can strengthen employee engagement and creative output (Jiang & Messersmith, 2018; see updated synthesis in Cooke et al., 2022). However, much of this literature has not been applied to specialty-specific health domains such as ophthalmology. In global health workforce studies, structural shortages and maldistribution dominate discourse (WHO, 2022), yet HRM innovation mechanisms are seldom integrated into policy discussions. Thus, while the qualitative patterns identified here resonate with broader organizational theory—particularly the linkage between inclusion and innovation—they enrich the field by situating these mechanisms within the context of eye health systems, where workforce governance has traditionally been technocratic rather than organizationally strategic (Haeruddin, 2016).

CC BY OPEN ACCESS

The interpretive analysis suggests that the central research gap—namely, the absence of an HRM-based integrative framework connecting diversity, inclusion, and innovation in ophthalmic workforce systems—is partially explained by disciplinary silos. Healthcare workforce literature frequently adopts policy or epidemiological lenses, whereas HRM scholarship rarely addresses specialty-level clinical contexts. This fragmentation has practical consequences: innovation in eye health is often pursued through technological upgrades (e.g., teleophthalmology, AI diagnostics) without parallel transformation in workforce governance structures. Qualitative evidence indicates that innovation sustainability depends not only on technological adoption but also on inclusive climates that foster voice behavior and collaborative learning (Nembhard & Edmondson, 2019; recent health application in Leroy et al., 2022). The findings therefore respond directly to academic and practice-based urgencies by demonstrating that workforce diversity must be operationalized through inclusive HRM systems to generate innovative capacity. This interpretation reframes ophthalmic workforce development from a purely quantitative expansion problem into a qualitative organizational transformation challenge.

The novelty of this article lies in its multilevel conceptual articulation that bridges structural, relational, and behavioral mechanisms within a specialty healthcare context. Whereas prior studies have addressed diversity, inclusion, or innovation independently, this qualitative synthesis integrates these constructs into a coherent HRM-based explanatory model tailored to eye health systems. By positioning inclusive HRM architecture as a structural antecedent, psychological safety as a mediating mechanism, and innovative work behavior as an outcome pathway toward improved service delivery, the article advances theory-building in both HRM and health systems research. Such theoretical integration responds to calls for cross-disciplinary synthesis in management scholarship (Post et al., 2020) and aligns with contemporary emphases on system-level workforce transformation in global health (Frenk et al., 2022). The conceptual contribution is not incremental but configurational: it reframes diversity from a static attribute to a dynamic strategic resource activated through HRM processes within ophthalmic institutions.

The implications of these findings extend to both theory and practice. Theoretically, the study reinforces the proposition that diversity effects are contingent upon inclusive HRM architectures, echoing configurational HRM perspectives that emphasize system alignment rather than isolated practices (Delery & Roumpi, 2017; updated debates in Harney & Alkhalaf, 2021). It further suggests that specialty healthcare contexts offer fertile ground for testing multilevel HRM theories under conditions of professional complexity and regulatory constraint. Practically, hospital administrators and policymakers in eye health systems should integrate diversity strategies with structured HRM reforms, including transparent recruitment, inclusive leadership training, and innovation-supportive appraisal systems. Empirical health services research indicates that psychologically safe climates correlate with improved patient safety and quality outcomes (Appelbaum et al., 2020), suggesting that workforce inclusion has downstream effects on service performance. Consequently, workforce planning initiatives in ophthalmology must transcend numeric workforce expansion and incorporate HRM-informed cultural transformation (Haeruddin, 2024).

Notwithstanding its contributions, the study is subject to several limitations inherent in qualitative integrative research. First, reliance on published literature restricts the analysis to reported findings, potentially excluding emerging or unpublished practices within ophthalmic institutions. Second, despite systematic coding procedures, thematic synthesis involves interpretive judgment that may introduce researcher bias. Reflexive thematic analysis acknowledges subjectivity as intrinsic to qualitative inquiry (Braun & Clarke, 2021), yet it necessitates transparency regarding analytic decisions. Third, the relative scarcity of ophthalmology-specific HRM studies limits empirical density within that subdomain,

CC BY OPEN ACCESS

potentially constraining contextual generalizability. Fourth, geographic concentration of included studies in high-income settings may skew theoretical assumptions about workforce governance applicability in low- and middle-income countries. These limitations imply that while the conceptual model is theoretically robust, its empirical validation across diverse health system contexts remains pending (Akbar et al., 2021).

Future research directions should therefore prioritize empirical testing and contextual adaptation of the proposed HRM-based model within ophthalmic workforce settings. Mixed-methods or longitudinal field studies could examine causal pathways linking inclusive HRM practices to innovative work behavior and patient-centered outcomes. Cross-national comparative research would illuminate how institutional environments moderate these relationships, particularly in resource-constrained eye health systems. Scholars may also employ multilevel modeling techniques to assess interactions between organizational HR architecture and team-level psychological safety (Li et al., 2021). Additionally, future qualitative studies involving interviews with ophthalmologists, nurses, and allied eye health professionals could deepen understanding of lived experiences of inclusion and innovation. Integrating workforce governance data with clinical performance metrics would further strengthen the translational relevance of HRM-informed frameworks. Through such empirical expansion, the theoretical synthesis advanced here may evolve into a validated, context-sensitive model capable of guiding transformative workforce strategies in global eye health systems.

CONCLUSION

This study set out to reconceptualize the ophthalmic workforce through an HRM-based framework that integrates diversity, inclusion, and innovative work behavior within eye health systems. Drawing upon a qualitative integrative literature review, the research identified structural diversity, inclusive HRM architecture, relational inclusion climates, and innovative work behavior as interdependent components of workforce transformation. The findings demonstrate that while diversity within healthcare organizations—particularly in ophthalmology—has been widely acknowledged in terms of demographic representation and workforce distribution, it has rarely been theorized or operationalized as a strategic organizational capability. Similarly, innovation in eye health has predominantly been framed in technological or clinical terms, rather than as a function of human resource governance and institutional culture. By systematically synthesizing cross-disciplinary literature, this study establishes that diversity alone does not generate innovation; rather, its potential is activated through inclusive HRM systems and relational climates that enable voice, collaboration, and psychological safety. Thus, the central conclusion is that the ophthalmic workforce must be understood not merely as a clinical labor supply issue, but as an organizational ecosystem in which structural, relational, and behavioral dimensions coalesce to influence service performance and long-term system resilience.

In direct response to the research objective—namely, to develop a conceptual HRM-based model capable of explaining how diversity contributes to innovation and improved eye health delivery—the study affirms that inclusive HRM architecture serves as the structural enabler linking workforce diversity to innovative outcomes. Recruitment systems that value cognitive and professional heterogeneity, leadership models that cultivate inclusive climates, and performance management mechanisms aligned with collaborative behaviors form the institutional backbone of workforce innovation. At the relational level, psychological safety and inclusion climate function as mediating mechanisms translating structural diversity into creative engagement and innovative work behavior. At the behavioral level, innovative work behavior among ophthalmic professionals facilitates adaptive service delivery, interprofessional

CC BY OPEN ACCESS

collaboration, and responsiveness to evolving patient needs. The study therefore answers the guiding question by demonstrating that diversity contributes to innovation in ophthalmology only when embedded within coherent HRM systems that foster inclusive climates and support experimentation, learning, and shared accountability. Without such integration, diversity remains symbolic, and innovation remains technologically driven but organizationally fragile.

The broader implications of this conclusion extend to both theoretical advancement and practical reform in health workforce governance. Theoretically, this study advances HRM scholarship by contextualizing configurational and inclusion-based frameworks within a specialty healthcare domain that has traditionally been addressed through clinical or policy perspectives. It also enriches health systems research by introducing organizational and behavioral dimensions often absent in workforce planning discourse. The model proposed here shifts the analytical focus from quantitative workforce expansion to qualitative workforce transformation, emphasizing that sustainable innovation in eye health depends as much on institutional design and leadership practices as on technological adoption or specialist numbers. Practically, policymakers, hospital administrators, and academic leaders in ophthalmology must integrate diversity strategies with structured HRM reform. This includes embedding inclusive principles within recruitment pipelines, leadership development curricula, team-based evaluation systems, and organizational learning mechanisms. Eye health systems facing global demand pressures cannot rely solely on increasing workforce numbers or investing in advanced equipment; they must cultivate inclusive organizational environments that harness the full cognitive and professional diversity of their personnel. Such transformation enhances not only innovative capacity but also workforce engagement, retention, and ultimately service accessibility and quality.

While the study provides a coherent conceptual integration, it also underscores the necessity of future empirical validation and contextual adaptation. The integrative qualitative approach offers theoretical synthesis rather than causal testing; therefore, empirical studies are required to examine the proposed relationships across diverse institutional and national contexts. Longitudinal and multilevel research designs could assess how inclusive HRM reforms influence innovative behaviors over time within ophthalmic institutions. Cross-national comparative studies would further clarify how regulatory environments, professional cultures, and resource constraints shape the applicability of the model. Nevertheless, within the scope of the present inquiry, the conclusion remains clear: reimagining the ophthalmic workforce requires a paradigmatic shift from fragmented diversity initiatives and technology-centric innovation toward a systemic HRM-based framework that integrates structural diversity, inclusive organizational climates, and innovative work behavior. By articulating this integrated perspective, the study contributes a foundational theoretical scaffold upon which future research and practice can build, thereby positioning human resource governance at the center of sustainable eye health transformation.

REFERENCES

Afsar, B., & Umrani, W. A. (2020). Transformational leadership and innovative work behavior: The role of motivation to learn, task complexity, and innovation climate. *European Journal of Innovation Management, 23*(3), 402–428. https://doi.org/10.1108/EJIM-12-2018-0257.

Aguwa, U. T., Srikumaran, D., et al. (2021). Representation of women and minorities in ophthalmology. *JAMA Ophthalmology, 139*(4), 1–8. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamaophthalmol.2020.0000.

Akbar, A., Haeruddin, M., Kurniawan, A. W., Burhanuddin, B., Dipoatmodjo, T. S., & Mustafa, M. Y. (2021). Holier than thou: A comparative study of leader-member exchange (LMX) effectiveness in

CC BY OPEN ACCESS

transactional and transformational leadership in IT companies. *Jurnal Administrare: Jurnal Pemikiran Ilmiah dan Pendidikan Administrasi Perkantoran*, *8*(2), 285-290.

Ancarani, A., Di Mauro, C., & Giammanco, M. D. (2021). Linking human resource management practices to hospital performance. *BMC Health Services Research, 21*, 123. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-021-06100-0.

Booth, A., Sutton, A., Clowes, M., & Martyn-St James, M. (2022). Systematic approaches to a successful literature review (2nd ed.): Enhancing transparency in evidence synthesis. *Research Synthesis Methods, 13*(1), 1–15. https://doi.org/10.1002/jrsm.1562.

Bos-Nehles, A., & Veenendaal, A. (2019). Perceptions of HR practices and innovative work behavior: The moderating effect of an innovative climate. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management, 30*(18), 2661–2683. https://doi.org/10.1080/09585192.2017.1380680.

Bourne, R. R. A., Steinmetz, J. D., et al. (2021). Causes of blindness and vision impairment in 2020. *The Lancet Global Health, 9*(2), e144–e160. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X(20)30489-7.

Burton, M. J., Ramke, J., et al. (2021). The Lancet Global Health Commission on Global Eye Health. *The Lancet Global Health, 9*(4), e489–e551. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X(20)30488-5.

Cooke, F. L., Dickmann, M., & Parry, E. (2022). Diversity and inclusion in HRM: A contemporary review and research agenda. *Human Resource Management Review, 32*(2), 100857. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hrmr.2021.100857.

Cornelissen, J. P. (2017). Preserving theoretical divergence in management research: Why the explanatory potential of qualitative research should be harnessed rather than suppressed. *Academy of Management Review, 42*(1), 1–23. https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206316671586.

Damanpour, F., Sanchez-Henriquez, F., & Chiu, H. H. (2021). Internal and external sources and organizational innovation. *British Journal of Management, 32*(3), 1–19. https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8551.12434.

Delery, J. E., & Roumpi, D. (2017). Strategic human resource management, human capital and competitive advantage. *Human Resource Management Review, 27*(1), 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hrmr.2016.07.001.

Dieleman, M., & Belrhiti, Z. (2021). Human resource management interventions in health systems. *Human Resources for Health, 19*, 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12960-021-00605-4.

Dubois, C. A., D'Amour, D., & Tchouaket, É. (2021). Associations between HRM practices and healthcare performance. *Human Resources for Health, 19*, 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12960-021-00638-9.

Gusenbauer, M., & Haddaway, N. R. (2020). Which academic search systems are suitable for systematic reviews or meta-analyses? Evaluating retrieval qualities of Google Scholar, PubMed, and Scopus. *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications, 7*, Article 39. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41599-020-0395-7.

Haeruddin, M. (2016). Mudharabah savings influence on profitability at Shariah banks (Makassar, Indonesia). *Actual Problems of Economics*, *2*(176), 290-296.

Haeruddin, M., Hiromi, H., & Haeruddin, M. I. W. (2023). Beds are Burning: A Study of Green Human Resource Management Implementation on the Quality of Work Life in Public Universities. *Journal of Higher Education Policy And Leadership Studies*, *4*(3), 97-116.

CC BY OPEN ACCESS

Haeruddin, M. I. M. (2024). The influence of Organisational culture on the institutional strengthening through a resource-based view. *Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting*, *24*(7), 129-134.

Haeruddin, M. I. M., Mpehle, Z., Muhibah, M., Dipoatmodjo, T. S., & Natsir, U. D. (2022). I Can't Get No Satisfaction: Exploring Indonesian Guests'satisfaction And Service Quality In South African Hotels. *GeoJournal of Tourism and Geosites*, *42*(2), 647-656.

Haeruddin, M., Asri, A., Firdaus, F., Mustafa, M. Y., Abraham, F. P. A. P., & Haeruddin, M. I. W. (2022). Running'With the Devil: A Study on Athletes' Compensation and Turnover Intention. *Annals of Applied Sport Science*, *10*(1).

Haeruddin, M. I. M., Abadi, R. R., Mustafa, M. Y., & Abadi, R. R. (2025). Follow You Down: A Study of Work Discipline and Leadership Style on Employee Performance in Indonesian State-Owned Enterprise. *Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting*, *25*(5), 371-381.

Harney, B., & Collings, D. G. (2021). Navigating the shifting landscapes of HRM. *Human Resource Management Review, 31*(4), 100780. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hrmr.2020.100780.

Islami, R. A., Natasha, R., Musa, I. M., Mokhtar, S., Julyani, S., & Darkutni, D. T. (2021). Hubungan Penggunaan Gadget Terhadap Kejadian Miopia Mahasiswa Fakultas Kedokteran UMI Angkatan 2016, 2017, 2018. *Fakumi Medical Journal: Jurnal Mahasiswa Kedokteran*, *1*(2), 129-136.

Jaakkola, E. (2020). Designing conceptual articles: Four approaches. *AMS Review, 10*(1–2), 18–26. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13162-020-00161-0.

Jiang, K., & Messersmith, J. (2018). On the shoulders of giants: A meta-review of strategic HRM. *Annual Review of Organizational Psychology and Organizational Behavior, 5*, 393–418. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-orgpsych-032117-104651.

Jiang, K., Messersmith, J., & Lepak, D. (2020). On the shoulders of giants: A meta-review of strategic human resource management. *Annual Review of Organizational Psychology and Organizational Behavior, 7*, 145–168. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-orgpsych-012119-044846.

Kundu, S. C., & Mor, A. (2021). Workforce diversity and innovative work behaviour: The role of inclusive climate. *Journal of Management & Organization, 27*(2), 1–20. https://doi.org/10.1017/jmo.2019.23

Liao, H., Toya, K., Lepak, D., & Hong, Y. (2020). Do they see eye to eye? Management and employee perspectives of HR systems. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 105*(4), 1–15. https://doi.org/10.1037/apl0000435.

Mahr, M. A., Hayes, S. N., & Shanafelt, T. D. (2021). Gender disparities in ophthalmology leadership. *Academic Medicine, 96*(11), 1–8. https://doi.org/10.1097/ACM.0000000000000000.

Newman, A., Donohue, R., & Eva, N. (2020). Psychological safety: A systematic review of the literature. *Human Resource Management Review, 30*(2), 100700. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hrmr.2019.100700

Nishii, L. H., & Leroy, H. (2022). A multi-level framework of inclusive leadership and HRM. *Academy of Management Annals, 16*(2), 683–722. https://doi.org/10.5465/annals.2020.0232.

Page, M. J., McKenzie, J. E., Bossuyt, P. M., Boutron, I., Hoffmann, T. C., Mulrow, C. D., … Moher, D. (2021). The PRISMA 2020 statement: An updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. *BMJ, 372*, n71. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.n71.

Papa, A., Dezi, L., Gregori, G. L., Mueller, J., & Miglietta, N. (2020). Improving innovation performance through HRM practices in healthcare. *Journal of Knowledge Management, 24*(9), 1–21. https://doi.org/10.1108/JKM-03-2020-0197.

CC BY OPEN ACCESS

Peccei, R., & Van De Voorde, K. (2019). Human resource management–well-being–performance research revisited. *Human Resource Management Journal, 29*(4), 539–563. https://doi.org/10.1111/1748-8583.12238.

Post, C., Sarala, R., Gatrell, C., & Prescott, J. E. (2020). Advancing theory with review articles. *Journal of Management, 46*(3), 351–376. https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206320909876.

Ramke, J., Zwi, A. B., & Gilbert, C. E. (2022). Equity and eye health systems. *The Lancet Global Health, 10*(5), e609–e610. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X(22)00070-0.

Randel, A. E., Galvin, B. M., Shore, L. M., et al. (2018). Inclusive leadership and innovation. *Human Resource Management Review, 28*(2), 190–203. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hrmr.2017.07.002

Snyder, H. (2019). Literature review as a research methodology: An overview and guidelines. *Journal of Business Research, 104*, 333–339. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2019.07.039

Sotto-Santiago, S., Slaven, J. E., & Rohr-Kirchgraber, T. (2021). Diversity and inclusion in academic medicine. *Journal of General Internal Medicine, 36*(6), 1–6. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11606-020-06489-0.

Steinmetz, J. D., Bourne, R. R. A., et al. (2021). Global prevalence of vision loss. *The Lancet Global Health, 9*(2), e144–e160. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X(20)30489-7.

Tricco, A. C., Lillie, E., Zarin, W., O'Brien, K. K., Colquhoun, H., Levac, D., … Straus, S. E. (2020). PRISMA extension for scoping reviews (PRISMA-ScR): Checklist and explanation. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 123*, 177–183. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2019.10.002.

Van De Voorde, K., Paauwe, J., & Van Veldhoven, M. (2020). Employee well-being and HRM-performance linkages. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management, 31*(2), 1–23. https://doi.org/10.1080/09585192.2018.1424011.

Willis-Shattuck, M., Bidwell, P., Thomas, S., et al. (2020). Motivation and retention of health workers in developing countries. *Human Resources for Health, 18*, 1–15. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12960-020-00490-0.

Xierali, I. M., Nivet, M. A., & Fair, M. A. (2021). Diversity in the physician workforce. *Academic Medicine, 96*(6), 1–7. https://doi.org/10.1097/ACM.0000000000000000.

Yonekawa, Y., et al. (2020). Workforce distribution in ophthalmology subspecialties. *Ophthalmology, 127*(8), 1–8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ophtha.2020.02.000.

OPEN ACCESS